decenoate chains in the polymeric micelle forces their end methylene groups close to each other at a distance equal to the length of the C-C bond (1.54 Å) compared to a distance of approximately 4 Å (twice the effective van der Waals radius of CH₂) which separates the corresponding =CH₂ groups in the monomeric micelle. The same polar microenvironment was also sensed by pyrene solubilized in poly(sodium 10-undecenoate) solutions above the intermolecular cmc (2.06 g/dm³) of the polymeric surfactant indicating that essentially the site of solubilization is not affected by the aggregation of the intermolecular micelles. Similar conclusions were drawn from measurements of the nitrogen hyperfine splitting constants of two nitroxide spin labels solubilized in these micelles showing that both probes penetrate deeper inside the monomeric than the polymeric micelle.¹³

Conclusions

When aqueous solutions of sodium 10-undecenoate are

irradiated by γ rays at concentrations above the cmc of the surfactant, poly(sodium 10-undecenoate) is formed having a degree of polymerization equal to the aggregation number of the monomeric micelles. Poly(sodium 10-undecenoate) is an intramolecular micelle having a cmc equal to zero and a hydrated size equal to the size of the monomeric micelle. At polymeric surfactant concentrations above 2.06 g/dm³, however, poly(sodium 10-undecenoate) ions aggregate under the influence of hydrophobic interactions to form large intermolecular micelles. Although hydrophobic molecules are solubilized by polymeric micelles (intra and intermolecular) they cannot penetrate the micellar core as much as they penetrate the core of the corresponding monomeric (nonpolymerized) micelles, apparently because of the more compact packing of the undecenoate chains of poly(sodium 10-undecenoate) due to polymeric covalent bonding.

Registry No. Sodium 10-undecenoate, 3398-33-2.

Temperature Dependence of the Fluorescence Lifetime of Benzene in Cryogenic Solutions[†]

F. Li, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 (Received: June 4, 1982; In Final Form: September 7, 1982)

Fluorescence lifetimes for C_6D_6 in various hydrocarbon solvents have been measured as a function of concentration and temperature. For the solvents ethylene, ethane, and propane it is found that at low concentrations (\sim 0.3 ppm or $\sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$ mol/L) the fluorescence lifetime is roughly temperature independent (90 $\leq T \leq$ 220 K) and equal to the gas-phase value of ~ 150 ns. As the concentration is increased ($1 \le c \le 100$ ppm), the lifetime decreases as temperature is increased, reaching a minimum value at about 150 K of roughly 70-100 ns, depending on the concentration. As the temperature is increased from 150 to 220 K, the lifetime increases to well over 100 ns. These trends can be understood on the basis of a monomer/excimer kinetic model in which benzene excimers form at low temperature and break apart at high temperature to regenerate the excited-state and ground-state monomers. In propene and 1-butene solvents, such behavior is not observed most likely due to solvent triplet-state quenching of the excited ¹B_{2u} benzene monomer.

Introduction

The study of molecular electronic spectra of solute molecules in cryogenic molecular liquids has begun to draw increasing attention in recent years.1 Fluorescent and phosphorescent probe molecules can provide information on local structure and microdynamics of chemical systems in liquid solution.² Moreover, even at low concentrations (1 ppm or $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mol/L) absorption and emission spectra are intense enough to provide good signal-to-noise detection ratios. Cryogenic liquids reduce the spectral congestion associated with hot bands and quite often solvent effects induce forbidden transitions by lowering the effective solute symmetry. Benzene, as a solvent probe in several cryogenic liquids, has proved helpful in studying solvent-solute interactions and liquid-state structure.3,4

Cryogenic small-molecule liquids are employed in this research because they are simple but still molecular, they are good solvents for organic molecules, they possess a wide liquid range, and their low temperature allows for sharp spectroscopic probe features.3-5 Benzene is a reasonable probe system because of its high symmetry, well-known spectra and kinetics, and solubility.

In the present study, fluorescence lifetimes of the first excited singlet state of benzene are reported in several cryogenic liquids. The solvents employed in these studies are methane, ethane, ethylene, propane, propene, and 1-butene. The experimental results are explained on the basis of a monomer/dimer (excimer) kinetic model. At low temperature the "cage" structure of the liquid provides an effective mechanism for the formation of an excimer once

[†]Supported in part by the ONR and NSF.

^{(1) (}a) G. Ya. Zelikina and T. G. Meister, Opt. Spectrosc. (Engl. Transl.), 43, 46 (1977); (b) J. W. Eastman and S. J. Rehfeld, J. Phys. Chem., 74, 1438 (1970); (c) W. H. Beattie, W. B. Maier, II, R. F. Holland, S. M. Freund, and B. Stewart, SPIE Laser Spectrosc., 158, 113 (1978); (d) S. M. Freund, W. B. Maier, II, R. F. Holland, and W. H. Beattie, Anal. Chem., 50, 1260 (1978).

(2) G. W. Robinson, R. A. Auerbach, and J. A. Synowiec, Chem. Phys.

Lett., 82, 219 (1981).

^{(3) (}a) E. R. Bernstein and J. Lee, J. Chem. Phys., 74, 3159 (1981); (b) M. W. Schauer, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, ibid., 76, 2773 (1982); (c) J. Lee and E. R. Bernstein, ibid., submitted.

⁽⁴⁾ F. Li, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, unpublished results.

⁽⁵⁾ F. Li, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, J. Phys. Chem., 86, 3606 (1982).

a ground-state benzene molecule has diffused to and is trapped in a cage in which there also resides an excitedstate molecule. At high temperature the lifetime of this cage structure is significantly reduced by the large thermal energy available to the molecules. Dissociation of these excimers repopulates the excited-state monomer and an increase in the fluorescence lifetime is observed at high temperature. It is further demonstrated that the formation of excimers is a diffusion-controlled process with a high probability of excimer formation on each excited-state/ ground-state benzene pair encounter. It is postulated that this high cross section for formation is associated with a solvent cage or coordination (solvent shell) structure. There is some evidence that ¹B_{2u} benzene may also relax through a high-efficiency nonradiative pathway accessible at energies $\sim 2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ above the zero-point energy of the ¹B_{2u} state; this route is only open to benzene in liquids or high-pressure gases near or above room temperature, however.

Experimental Section

Sample preparation and the instrumental setup have been described in other reports.3,5 C₆D₆ (Aldrich Gold Label) and C₆H₆ (Fisher Gold Label) are further purified by vacuum distillation over potassium to remove water and other oxygen-containing impurities. Solvents are all research grade of the highest obtainable commercial purity. All solvents are vacuum distilled and passed through molecular sieve, oxygen scavenger (Ridox), and activated charcoal. Samples are premixed in a 7-L stainless-steel can. Concentrations are regulated on the basis of the known vapor pressure of C_6H_6/C_6D_6 in calibrated volumes and fixed gas-phase volume/pressure measurements for the solvent. Frequency-doubled pulses from a Nd:YAG laser (Quanta-Ray DCR-1) are used to pump a rhodamine 610 dye laser. The output of the dye laser is further frequency doubled into the UV; the UV radiation is then Raman shifted in a high-pressure H₂ (270 psi) cell. The first stimulated anti-Stokes line from the Raman cell is appropriate for pumping vibronic transitions of the ¹B₂₁ \leftarrow ¹A_{1g} manifold in various cryogenic solvents. The energy of this unfocused beam at the sample is roughly 1-2 mJ/pulse, the pulse width is ~ 5 ns, and the line width is ~ 0.5 cm⁻¹. Dispersed fluorescence is detected by an RCA C31000M photomultiplier tube, the signal from which is then processed by a boxcar averager (PAR 162/164) which interfaces to a desk-top computer (HP9845S). A relaxation curve is obtained as the boxcar is scanned by a ramp voltage from the computer. Typical scan times are 10 min for a 500-ns scanning range. The decay curves obtained are then fitted by a single exponential decay function with an adjustable base line. The standard deviation of a number of measurements of the decay times for a given sample and temperature based on a complete fit to the experimental decay curves is always better than 5%. Since the signals are dispersed and the detection wavelength is at the peak of a monomer transition, only the monomer fluorescence lifetime is of concern.

Results

Although we have data for both C_6H_6 and C_6D_6 , only those results referring to C₆D₆ will be presented and discussed in this paper. In all respects, both molecules give essentially the same information. Decay curves for the benzene fluorescence in liquid solutions (C_6D_6/C_2H_6) are shown in Figure 1. Within the stated standard deviation, as determined by computer fitting of the entire decay curve after the first 10 ns, all decay curves could be modeled by a single exponential function.




Figure 1. Fluorescence intensity as a function of time for 4 ppm C₆D₆ in liquid C2H8 at 90, 150, and 180 K. The lines through the data are computer least-squares fits to the decay function with relaxation times as indicated in the figure.




Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the fluorescence lifetime of C_6D_6 in C_2H_6 ((X) 0.3, (Δ) 8, and (O) 56 ppm; at 90 K 1 ppm ~ 1.9 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L).




Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the fluorescence lifetime of C_6D_6 in C_3H_8 ((X) 0.3, (Δ) 18, and (O) 90 ppm; at 90 K 1 ppm ~ 1.3 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L).

The resulting lifetimes of the ¹B_{2u} state of benzene in various solvents as a function of temperature are shown in Figures 2-4. For the C_6D_6/C_2H_4 , C_6D_6/C_2H_6 , and C₆D₆/C₃H₈ systems, the lifetime of the ¹B_{2u} state shows only a small dependence on temperature at low concentrations (~ 0.3 ppm). This change, over the range 90–220 K. is roughly 10% ($\sim 150-130$ ns). At low temperatures,




Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the fluorescence lifetime of C_8D_6 in C_2H_4 ((X) 0.3, (Δ) 8, and (O) 32 ppm; at 90 K 1 ppm \sim 2 X 10⁻⁵ mol/L).


the fluorescence lifetimes approach the value of the lowpressure gas.⁶ Temperature dependence of the lifetime becomes prominent at higher concentrations, however. At higher temperatures with moderate concentration, the lifetime falls to a minimum value. As the temperature further increases, the lifetime begins to increase (see Figures 2-4).

In order to determine the cause of this lifetime behavior with temperature and concentration, we added 6×10^{-4} $\text{mol/L of } O_2 \text{ to the } C_6D_6/C_2H_6 \text{ system.}$ An overall shorter lifetime (about 20%) for the benzene emission was observed. The same temperature dependence of lifetimes was detected, however. Since O2 is an effective triplet-state quencher, this observation tends to eliminate the triplet state of benzene as an effective cause of such behavior. Both thermal depopulation of ³B_{1u} to ¹B_{2u} and triplettriplet annihilation mechanisms are thus not dominant (although they may be present) in the overall kinetic processes. Moreover, linear dependence of the fluorescence intensity on the input laser power also seems to rule out diffusion-controlled triplet-triplet annihilation processes.

The lifetime of the ¹B_{2u} state in C₆H₆ and 1-C₄H₈ was also studied as a function of temperature and concentration. The behavior of the fluorescence intensity as a function of time and temperature is quite different for these two solvents from that found for C2H4, C2H6, and C₃H₈. The lifetime of the ¹B_{2u} state shows a dramatic decrease as temperature is increased; no recovery of the low-temperature lifetime is observed even at the highest temperature (~220 K). Numerous serial purifications and large variations in benzene concentration have no effect on these results. The data are illustrated in Figure 5. In these two solvents self-quenching must not be the predominant quenching mechanism.

Discussion

It is known that different vibronic levels of the ${}^{1}B_{2i}$ state of benzene have difference lifetimes in the gas phase at low pressures.7 An increase in the gas pressure will result in a thermally equilibrated vibronic manifold from which one observes an average lifetime for all vibronic levels. In order to measure the lifetime of the zeroth vibrational level of the ¹B₂₀ state, one must employ very low pressures with excitation through a hot band transition. Such studies give a low-pressure electronic lifetime of ~160 ns.6 However, in cryogenic liquid solutions it has been demonstrated that all emission comes from the zero-point state of ¹B_{2u} and,






Figure 5. Temperature dependence of the fluorescence lifetime of C_6D_6 in C_3H_6 and $1-C_4H_8$ ((×) 0.3 ppm in C_3H_6 , (□) 2 ppm in C_3H_6 , (O) 91 ppm in C_3H_8 , (+) 0.3 ppm in 1- C_4H_8 , (\triangle) 8.3 ppm in 1- C_4H_8 ; at 90 K 1 ppm in 1-C₄H₈ is $\sim1.3\times10^{-5}$ mol/L and in C₃H₈ is $\sim1.4\times10^{-5}$

moreover, that the observed cryogenic liquid-state lifetime is about the same as the longest vibronic-level lifetimes measured for the ${}^{1}B_{2u}$ state (~150 ns). Thus, it seems reasonable to assume that the electronic-state lifetime of ¹B_{2u} is closely approximated by that lifetime observed in dilute cryogenic liquids.

The similar values of the fluorescence lifetimes of benzene in low-temperature liquids and in the low-pressure gas phase are consistent with the nearly identical quantum yields under these two very different sets of conditions.8 A graphical extrapolation of the low-temperature, lowconcentration liquid lifetime to room temperature yields a lifetime of \sim 110 ns; this is much longer than the usually reported 20-30 ns lifetime for room-temperature liquids. 9,10 The fluorescence lifetimes of the high-pressure gas typically fall between these two values (20-110 ns).6 These observations indicate that the relaxation rate from the zero-point level of the excited ¹B_{2u} state of benzene is largely an intramolecular property not grossly perturbed by collisions. Once the excited molecule is relaxed to this level, further collisions do not readily induce direct relaxation to the lowest electronic state. However, collisions can activate the $^1B_{2u}$ zero-point molecules to higher vibrational levels in the $^1B_{2u}$ manifold—in particular, to the level at $\sim\!2500$ cm $^{-1}$ which reportedly opens a new nonradiative channel for relaxation.^{8,9,11} At low temperature, thermal collisional activation to this fast relaxation pathway can be neglected; consequently, the relaxation rate is slow in low-pressure gases at room temperature as the rate of activation through high-energy collisions is quite slow. Thus, the conclusion is that low-temperature liquid solutions of benzene and dilute gas-phase benzene should have nearly the same ¹B_{2u} electronic-state relaxation times, while room-temperature benzene solutions should have much shorter relaxation times. The high frequency of collision and high thermal energy in room-temperature liquids and high-pressure gases effectively shortens the fluorescence lifetime of benzene through collision-induced vibrational activation. The general implication of this line of reasoning is that the low-temperature liquid can be construed as providing a relatively "collision-free" structure (cage) for the solute. On the other hand, the high thermal energy of room-temperature liquids generates high collision rates (rapidly varying or oscillating structures) with many of

⁽⁸⁾ J. W. Eastman, J. Chem. Phys., 49, 4617 (1968).

⁽⁹⁾ W. P. Heiman, J. Chem. Phys., 51, 354 (1969).
(10) J. B. Birks, C, L. Braga, and M. D. Lumb, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 283, 83 (1965).

⁽¹¹⁾ C. S. Parmenter, Adv. Chem. Phys., 22, 365 (1972).




Figure 6. Rate processes for monomer/excimer formation and decay. $k_{\rm FM}$ is the radiative rate for the excited monomer. $k_{\rm IM}$ is the nonradiative rate for the excited monomer. $k_{\rm FD}$ is the radiative rate for the excimer. $k_{\,\mathrm{ID}}$ is the nonradiative rate for the excimer. $k_{\,\mathrm{DM}}[\mathrm{M}]$ is the formation of the excimer from an excited monomer and a ground-state monomer. [M*] is the concentration of the excited monomer (mol/L). [D*] is the concentration of the excimer (mol/L). [M] is the concentration of the solute ground state (mol/L). Formation of the excimer is a diffusion-controlled process with an activation energy equal to the activation energy for diffusion processes in the solvent ($\Delta E_n = \Delta E_1$ $= E_{DM} - E_{M}$). The dissociation of an excimer to form an excited-state monomer and ground-state monomer breaks the excimer bond (B = $\Delta E_2 - \Delta E_1 = E_M - E_D$). The activation energy $\Delta E_2 (\Delta E_2 \gg \Delta E_1)$ is associated with this dissociation process. The fluorescence peak of the monomer appears at $E_{\rm M}$ – $E_{\rm M_0}$ and the fluorescence peak of the excimer appears at $E_{\rm D}$ – $E_{\rm D_0}$.

these collisions being of high energy, perhaps due to multiple simultaneous events.

In addition to this small temperature dependence for the fluorescence lifetime of very dilute ($\sim 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol/L}$) benzene in cryogenic liquids, there is a much larger temperature dependence of this lifetime for high-concentration solutions $(2 \times 10^{-5} \le c \ 2 \times 10^{-3} \ \text{mol/L})$. Higher concentrations yield, in general, shorter lifetimes. This indicates that another quenching process begins to operate which must be at least a bimolecular one. These data also show (Figures 2-4) that, as temperature increases, the relaxation time reaches a minimum value; further increase of the temperature leads to an increase in the ¹B_{2u} fluorescence lifetime. This anomalous behavior in the cryogenic liquids C₂H₄, C₂H₆, and C₃H₈ is consistent with the formation of bimolecular excimers which quench the excited monomer. At higher temperatures ($\sim 150 \le T \le 220 \text{ K}$), the dissociation of excimers repopulates the excited ¹B_{2u} of the monomer, thereby leading to an increase in the observed fluorescence lifetime.

In order to demonstrate that the above process is the correct description for the observed lifetime behavior, it is necessary to present a kinetic scheme which, for specific values of the parameters, will generate such complex behavior with temperature and solute concentration. The discussion below follows closely the discussion of Birks. 12 Figure 6 shows the rate processes considered for the monomer/excimer systems and gives an explanation of the notation. For pulsed excitation, the rate equations governing the excited-state monomer and excimer are

$$d[M^*]/dt = -(k_{FM} + k_{IM} + k_{DM}[M])[M^*] + k_{MD}[D^*]$$
(1)

$$d[D^*]/dt = k_{DM}[M][M^*] - (k_{FD} + k_{ID} + k_{MD})[D^*]$$
 (2)

in which [M] is the concentration (mol/L) of ground-state benzene; [M*] is the concentration (mol/L) of excited-state benzene; [D*] is the concentration (mol/L) of excited-state dimer (excimer) benzene; $k_{\rm FM}$, $k_{\rm FD}$, $k_{\rm IM}$, and $k_{\rm ID}$ are the fluorescence rate (s⁻¹) of the monomer, the fluorescence rate of the excimer, the total nonradiative rate of monomer, and the total nonradiative rate of the excimer, respectively; $k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]$ is the rate of formation of the excimer from the combination of an excited monomer and a ground-state monomer; and $k_{\rm MD}$ is the dissociation rate of the excimer to form an excited monomer and a ground-state monomer.

Applying the initial conditions that at t = 0, $[M^*] =$ $[M^*]_0$, and $[D^*] = 0$, one can write the solutions of eq 1

$$[\mathbf{M}^*] = [\mathbf{M}^*]_0 \frac{\lambda_2 - x}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} + A e^{-\lambda_2 t})$$
(3)

[D*] = [M*]₀
$$\frac{k_{\text{DM}}[M]}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} - e^{-\lambda_2 t})$$
 (4)

in which

$$x = k_{\rm M} + k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]$$

$$y = k_{\rm D} + k_{\rm MD}$$

$$k_{\rm M} = k_{\rm FM} + k_{\rm IM}$$

$$k_{\rm D} = k_{\rm FD} + k_{\rm ID}$$

$$A = (x - \lambda_1)/(\lambda_2 - x)$$

$$\lambda_{1,2} = (x + y)/2 \pm \frac{1}{2}[(y - x)^2 + 4k_{DM}k_{MD}[M]]^{1/2}$$

The temperature dependence of the above rate constants can be written as¹⁰

$$k_{\rm IM} = k_{\rm IM}^{0} + k'_{\rm IM} e^{-\Delta E_{\rm M1}} / k_{\rm B} T + k''_{\rm IM} e^{-\Delta E_{\rm M2} / (k_{\rm B} T)}$$
 (5)

$$k_{\rm ID} = k_{\rm ID}^{0} + k'_{\rm ID} e^{-\Delta E_{\rm D}/(k_{\rm B}T)}$$
 (6)

$$k_{\rm DM}[{\bf M}] = k'_{\rm DM}[{\bf M}]e^{-\Delta E_1/(k_{\rm B}T)}$$
 (7)

$$k_{\rm MD} = k'_{\rm MD} e^{-E_2/(k_{\rm B}T)}$$
 (8)

 $k_{\rm FM}$ and $k_{\rm FD}$ are temperature independent. In the above equations, k_{IM} is the nonradiative rate of the ${}^{1}\text{B}_{2u}$ excited monomer, k_{IM}^{0} is the temperature-independent portion of $k_{\rm IM}$, and $k'_{\rm IM}$ is the frequency factor of the temperaturedependence part of k_{IM} at low temperature. The second term in eq 5 is about 10% of the total relaxation rate $k_{\rm M}$ over the range 90-220 K for 0.3 ppm (5 \times 10⁻⁶ mol/L) solutions. The third term on the right of eq 5 is the rate of the nonradiative pathway that apparently appears at \sim 2500 cm⁻¹ above the zero-point level of the $^{1}B_{20}$ state. In the temperature range of interest, this term can be ignored. $k_{\rm ID}$ is the nonradiative rate of the excimer and includes two terms: one temperature dependent and one temperature independent. $k_{\rm DM}$ presents a diffusion process with an activation energy $\Delta E_1 = \Delta E_{\eta}$, the diffusion activation energy of the solvent. Finally, k_{MD} is the rate of breakup of the excimer which is also a thermally activated rate with activation energy ΔE_2 . It is clear from the above discussion that the second term in eq 5 is small ($\Delta E_{\rm M1}$ is small) and plays only a minor role in the overall decay rate for the ¹B_{2u} monomer excited state.

Excimer fluorescence is not observed in any of the solutions, most likely due to its width, 10 and therefore, $k_{\rm ID}$ and $k_{\rm D}$ need to be evaluated from other parameters. The rate of formation of excimers, $k_{\rm DM}[M]$, is a diffusion-controlled process^{10,12} and the activation energy for excimer formation ΔE_1 is equal to the activation energy for diffu-

⁽¹²⁾ J. B. Birks, "Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules", Wiley-Interscience, London, 1970.

^{(13) (}a) L. C. Yaw, Chem. Eng. (N. Y.), 101 (March 1975); 89 (May (15) (a) L. C. Taw, Chem. Eng. (N. I.), 101 (March 1975); 89 (May 1975); (b) G. W. Swift, J. Lorenz, and F. Kurater, AIChE J., 6, 415 (1960); G. W. Swift, J. A. Christy, and F. Kurater, ibid., 5, 98 (1959); (c) F. S. Bonscher, L. M. Shipman, and L. C. Yen, Hydrocarbon Process., 169 (April 1974); 145 (Jan 1974).

TABLE I: Estimates of the Rate Constants for C₆D₆ Fluorescence in C₂H₆ Solvent as a Function of Temperature^a

<i>T</i> , K	$C_{\mathbf{h}}$, b mol/L	${k_{ m DM}/k_{ m MD},^c} \ { m L/mol}$	$k_{\mathbf{M}},\mathrm{s}^{\scriptscriptstyle{-1}}$	$k_{\mathbf{DM}}[\mathbf{M}],^d \mathbf{s}^{-1}$	$k_{\mathbf{D}}, e^{\mathbf{S}^{-1}}$	$k_{\mathbf{MD}}$, s ⁻¹	
 90	7.6×10^{-3}	8.1×10^{7}	~6 × 10 ⁶	4.0 × 10 ⁵	~2 × 10 ^{6 f}	1.0×10^{1}	
120	1.7×10^{-3}	6.6×10^{6}	$\sim 6 \times 10^6$	2.3×10^{6}	$\sim 2 imes 10^6 f$	6.0×10^{4}	
150	9.5×10^{-4}	9.2×10^{2}	$\sim 6 \times 10^6$	$6.3 imes 10^6$	6.8×10^{6}	1.2×10^{7}	
160	5.7×10^{-4}	3.2×10^{2}	$\sim 6 \times 10^6$	$8.0 imes 10^6$	3.3×10^{7}	4.4×10^{7}	
170	1.1×10^{-3}	1.2×10^{2}	$\sim 6 \times 10^6$	1.0×10^{7}	4.5×10^{7}	$1.5 imes10^{ ext{s}}$	
180	1.9×10^{-3}	5.3×10^{1}	$\sim 6 \times 10^6$	1.3×10^{7}	6.0×10^{7}	4.3×10^{8}	

 a See text and Figure 6 for details concerning the processes and the overall scheme. b Evaluated from concentration dependence of the fluorescence lifetime at each temperature. c Calculated from $e^{(1/R)(-(\Delta H/T)+\Delta S)}$. Values of ΔH and ΔS taken from ref 10. d Assumes 30 ppm $(5.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol/L})$ of C_bD_b in C_2H_b and viscosity data of C_2H_b . e Calculated from $k_D = k_M(1/C_h)(k_{MD}/k_{DM})$ at high temperature. f Estimated order of magnitude.

sion processes in the liquid calculated from viscosity data. ¹³ Computer fitting of the rate data yields, for C_2H_6 , C_2H_4 , and C_3H_8 , an activation energy of $\sim 440~\rm cm^{-1}$. The diffusion rate constant, calculated from $k_\eta = 8RT/3000_\eta$ (L/(mol s)) with η in poise is approximately $k_{\rm DM}$. The binding energy B of the excimer in cyclohexane, shown by Birks¹⁰ et al. to be $\sim 5075~\rm cal/mol$, can be used to calculate ΔE_2 from $\Delta E_2 = \Delta E_1 + B$.

The other rate constants can be approximately evaluated following the procedures of ref 10. We define a "Half-value concentration" $C_{\rm h}$ at which the quantum yield of the monomer has dropped to half its infinite dilution value, $\phi_{\rm M} = ^{1}/_{\rm 2M_{0}}$. Since $\phi_{\rm M_{0}} = k_{\rm FM}\tau_{\rm 0}$ and $\phi_{\rm M} = k_{\rm FM}\tau$, evaluating the lifetime at different concentrations would result in information concerning $C_{\rm h}$. Then ¹⁰

$$C_{\rm h} = k_{\rm M}(k_{\rm D} + k_{\rm MD}) / (k_{\rm D}k_{\rm DM})$$
 (9)

At high temperature, for which $k_{\mathrm{MD}} \gg k_{\mathrm{D}}$, one obtains the relation

$$k_{\rm D} \sim k_{\rm M} \, \frac{1}{C_{\rm h}} \, \frac{k_{\rm MD}}{k_{\rm DM}} \tag{10}$$

The relationship between $k_{\rm MD}$ and $k_{\rm DM}$ can be evaluated from the thermodynamic relations for the monomer/excimer system at equilibrium by using

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln (k_{\rm DM}/k_{\rm MD}) = \Delta H - T\Delta S \tag{11}$$

in which ΔG , ΔH , ΔS , R, and T have their usual meanings and standard states. ¹⁰ S is probably not temperature dependent but could vary slightly from solvent to solvent. Assuming $H = \Delta E_1 - \Delta E_2 = -B$, and adopting the values for benzene in cyclohexane ($\Delta H \sim -5075$ cal/mol and $\Delta S \sim -20.3$ cal/(mol K)), one obtains the values of $k_{\rm DM}/k_{\rm MD}$ at different temperatures.

When this overall scheme and the roughly evaluated rate constants described above are used, it is possible to rationalize the observed anomalous behavior for fluorescence of benzene in low-temperature liquids. It should be kept in mind that this discussion does not represent a proof of the scheme but shows that the experimental results can be fitted within the scope of the kinetic model. Not all rate constants could be evaluated from λ_1 and λ_2 because only single exponential decays are observed and no excimer fluorescence was found. More will be said about this at the end of this section.

In the low-temperature range ($T \leq 120$ K), for which $k_{\rm M} \gg k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]$ and $k_{\rm D} \gg k_{\rm MD}$ can be assumed, expressions for λ_1 and λ_2 can be approximated to

$$\lambda_1 \sim x \qquad \lambda_2 \sim y$$

and $A = (x - \lambda_1)/(\lambda_2 - x)$ approaches 0. Under these conditions, the effective decay rate of the excited monomer can be described as a single exponential decreasing function. This rate is $k \sim k_{\rm M} + k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]$. At low concentrations, for which bimolecular reactions can be neglected,

the decay rate $k \sim k_{\rm M}$. The results show that $k_{\rm M}$ is only slightly temperature dependent (Figures 2-4). Increase of the solute concentration makes the bimolecular quenching more important. The difference between the decay rates of two different concentration solutions indicates a process with an associated activation energy approximately equal to the activation energy for the diffusion process calculated from solvent viscosity data. Thus, $k_{\rm DM}$ is roughly equal to the diffusion rate constant, excimer formation is diffusion controlled, and the probability of excimer formation from the collision of an excited and ground-state monomer is close to unity. This efficient formation of the excimer can be realized in terms of a solvent cage trapping of the pair of molecules. At low temperature, once such a solvent structure traps the excited and ground-state molecules, reseparation is difficult due to the surrounding solvent shell potential well.

At higher temperature ($T \sim 150$ K), for which the reverse conditions exist ($k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}] > k_{\rm M}$ and $k_{\rm MD} > k_{\rm D}$), the effective rate parameters are

$$\lambda_1 \sim \frac{k_{\rm M}k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm D}k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]}{k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]}$$
 (12)

$$\lambda_2 \sim k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm DM}[M] + \frac{k_{\rm D}k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm M}k_{\rm DM}[M]}{k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm DM}[M]}$$
 (13)

$$A = \frac{1 + k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]/k_{\rm MD} + k_{\rm M} - k_{\rm D}/k_{\rm MD}}{1 + \frac{k_{\rm MD}}{k_{\rm DM}[{\rm M}]} - \frac{k_{\rm M} - k_{\rm D}}{k_{\rm MD}}}$$

It is clear that $\lambda_2 \gg \lambda_1$ and A is only about unity. As one can see from Table I, the components of the second term in eq 3 will decay in a few nanoseconds. Thus, λ_1 is the same order of magnitude as $k_{\rm M}$ and this will be the observed effective decay rate based on the experimental time resolution. Physically this condition implies that the monomer and excimer are in dynamic equilibrium; λ_1 is then the rate at which electronic excitation is lost from the system with [M*] and [D*] in equilibrium.

All the above rate constants are temperature dependent as discussed in eq 5–8. However, the intrinsic temperature dependence of $k_{\rm M}$ is weak and we can assume the same is true for $k_{\rm D}$; to a first approximation we will neglect the two temperature-dependent components of $k_{\rm M}$ and $k_{\rm D}$. In order to determine the residual temperature behavior of λ_1 , one can obtain the temperature deviation of λ_1 approximately as

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}\lambda_1/\mathrm{d}T &= \{k_{\mathrm{MD}}k_{\mathrm{DM}}[\mathrm{M}](k_{\mathrm{M}}-k_{\mathrm{D}}) \times \\ &\quad (\Delta E_2 - \Delta E_1)/(k_{\mathrm{B}}T^2)\}/(k_{\mathrm{MD}} + k_{\mathrm{DM}}[\mathrm{M}])^2 \end{split}$$

Since every term in this expression is positive and $\Delta E_2 > \Delta E_1$, the fact that $k_D > k_M$ in the high-temperature range makes it clear that $d\lambda_1/dT < 0$ (see Table I). Therefore, further increase in the temperature in this temperature

domain will reduce the relaxation rate (increase the relaxation time) as is observed. The relation $k_{\rm D} > k_{\rm M}$ in this temperature range is also verified from the concentration derivative of λ_1 (in eq 12) for which experimental results give $d\lambda_1/d[M] > 0$ under the above conditions.

These arguments would in principle apply to even higher temperatures (room-temperature liquids for example), but the third term on the right-hand side of eq 5 eventually becomes dominant. Thus, the thermally activated process to the effective quenching channel ~ 2500 cm⁻¹ above the zero-point level of ¹B_{2n} takes place at these elevated temperatures and eventually reduces the lifetime of the excited state. Again, this is in accord with the usual observations.

Although the possibility of the increasing lifetime of singlet benzene in small molecular liquids due to triplettriplet annihilation cannot be completely ruled out from our experimental results, three other observations indicate that it is unlikely: (a) the fluorescence intensity is linear with input power over all powers and concentrations employed (less than 0.1–3 mJ/pulse) and (\sim 2 × 10⁻⁶– \sim 2 × 10^{-3} mol/L); (b) pyrazine triplet lifetime is 4 ms in C_2H_6 and C₃H₈ at 90 K and 50 µs at 150 K; 14 and (c) naphthalene has been reported to have a 0.5-s triplet lifetime near room temperature in the liquid state.¹⁵ It is, therefore, rather unlikely that the singlet state can be repopulated via the triplet state to yield the increased lifetimes observed here above ca. 150 K. A somewhat more definitive experiment, adding $\sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$ mol/L O₂ to these solution, shows an increase in fluorescence lifetime at high temperatures just as solutions without O2. This further demonstrates that the monomer/excimer model is a reasonable approach to the description of the microkinetics of excited benzene in cryogenic liquid solutions for the duration of the fluorescence (~ 100 ns). The various rates shown in Table I indicate that the low-temperature and high-temperature limits are acceptable assumptions.

Finally, consider the results, presented in Figure 5, for the ¹B_{2u} fluorescence lifetimes in C₃H₆ and 1-C₄H₈ as a function of temperature and concentration. Since these lifetimes are independent of concentration, the possibility of self-quenching can be ruled out as a dominant process. The temperature dependence of the lifetime in these solvents follows a thermal activation process with an activation energy close to the activation energy for diffusion. Two possible causes for such behavior can be considered: impurity quenching and intrinsic solvent triplet-state quenching of the ¹B_{2u} state.

For impurity quenching, the concentration of impurities would have to be 100 ppm for a unit probability of quenching upon diffusional encounters. An impurity concentration this high after numerous serial purification procedures seems quite unlikely. Moreover, two different solvents, C₃H₆ and 1-C₄H₈, are involved, both of which yield the same concentration and temperature dependences for the ${}^{1}B_{2u}$ state. The experimental results for benzene and naphthalene¹⁴ (for which these are not unique solvents) further indicate that the quenching impurities in these systems must have singlet energy levels between the ¹B_{2u} state of benzene and the ¹B_{3u} state of naphthalene. Such impurities could account for the quenching of the benzene but not the naphthalene lifetime. Under these circumstances ketones and aldehydes of solventlike molecules might represent reasonable candidates for the quenchers. However, the purifiction procedures discussed in the Experimental Section should reduce the concentration of such species considerably in repeated steps,

probably below 100 ppm. Similar experiments with pyrazine¹⁴ reveal that ³B₃₀ phosphorescence is quenched in C₂H₄, as well as in C₃H₆ and 1-C₄H₈ solvents, making it unlikely that impurities play a significant role in the overall excited-state kinetics, in general.

A rather more likely possibility is that the solvents themselves are responsible for the new ${}^{1}B_{2u}$ quenching mechanism in benzene/ C_3H_6 and 1- C_4H_8 solutions. From electron-impact experiments¹⁶ it has been shown that C_2H_4 , C_3H_6 , and 1- C_4H_8 all have a triplet state at ca. 34 000 cm⁻¹. The latter two triplet states could act as effective quenchers of the ¹B_{2u} state with a quenching probability of roughly 2×10^{-3} per collision based on Figure 5 and viscosity data. Such a low quenching probability would be expected for singlet-triplet energy transfer. The much lower probability (see Figures 2-4) for $C_2H_4/{}^1B_{2n}$ quenching may be related to slight energy differences or size/geometry effects on the details of the collision process itself. On the other hand, the naphthalene ¹B₃₀ could not be trapped based on energy considerations and the pyrazine ³B₃₀ could be trapped in the intersystem crossing process involving higher triplet states. Thus, while the question is certainly not settled, we do favor an intrinsic triplet-state solvent quenching mechanism for the ¹B₂₀ state of benzene in C₃H₆ and 1-C₄H₈ solvents.

Since the kinetic model for these results predicts that a number of pathways are involved in the relaxation process, one would expect nonexponential, or at least multiexponential, experimental decays to arise. As indicated above, such behavior is not observed. Computer simulation of a single decay curve composed of two exponential components reveals that the observed total decay will be dominated by the major intensity contributor if both exponentials have the same order of magnitude decay constant. One the other hand, if the decay rates are of different order of magnitude, the observed lifetime will be dominated by the component with the longer decay constant assuming they both contribute with the same intensity factor. The estimated rate constants presented in Table I indcate that at high temperature the observed lifetime will be mainly due to the monomer decay rate; at low temperatures the observed decay constant will also be determined by the monomer rate constant due to the low excimer population. At intermediate temperatures (including the turning point) the population of the excimer is smaller than the monomer and thus one still observes an apparent single exponential decay constant. Clearly, higher signal-to-noise ratio, especially at long times, should reveal multiexponential behavior. Thus, this model seems to be capable of addressing the experimental observations qualitatively; a quantitative theoretical fit proves not useful here because too many adjustable parameters are available in the rate equations and too few experimental component rate constants are observable.

Summary and Conclusions

The fluorescence lifetime of the ¹B_{2u} state of benzene has been investigated in cryogenic solvents. The observed anomalous temperature dependence of this lifetime in C₂H₄, C₂H₆, and C₃H₈ solvents is attributed to the formation at low temperature and dissociation at higher temperature of benzene excimers. The effective cage structure of the local environment within the solvent provides for isolation of the excited monomers but, as diffusion brings local cages together with two monomers

⁽¹⁴⁾ F. Li, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, J. Phys. Chem., in press. (15) S. C. Tsai and G. W. Robinson, J. Chem. Phys., 49, 3184 (1968).

^{(16) (}a) C. R. Bowman and W. D. Miller, J. Chem. Phys., 42, 681 (1965); (b) W. M. Flicker, O. A. Mosher, and A. Kupperman, Chem. Phys. Lett., 36, 56 (1975).

(one in the $^{1}A_{1g}$ and the other in the $^{1}B_{2u}$), an excimer is trapped and maintained within the cage. At higher temperatures, the thermal kinetic energy overcomes this cagelike environment resulting in the dissociation of excimers to generate $^{1}B_{2u}$ and $^{1}A_{1g}$ monomers. The fluorescence lifetime in low-concentration samples of the above solvents at low temperature is within experimental error of that of the isolated molecule in the gas phase; this lifetime varies little over the entire temperature range of these liquids. The implication here is that the small thermal kinetic energy available at low temperature (80–220 K) does not quench the $^{1}B_{2u}$ state of benzene even though the collision frequency is extremely high. Caging effects of the solvent, that is some structural stability of the solute–solvent shell system, are consistent with such

evidence. The observation of a high rate of relaxation for benzene in room-temperature liquids is most likely due to a thermal nonradiative quenching pathway $\sim\!2500~{\rm cm^{-1}}$ above the zero-point level of the $^1B_{2u}$ state.

The different $^{1}B_{2u}$ lifetime behavior found for the $C_{3}H_{6}$ and $1\text{-}C_{4}H_{8}$ solvent systems is tentatively attributed to $^{1}B_{2u}$ /triplet-state solvent collisional energy transfer. The possibility of impurity quenching for these solvents is argued to be less likely.

Acknowledgment. E.R.B. thanks Professor E. C. Lim and E. K. C. Lee for helpful discussions during the coarse of this research.

Registry No. C_6D_6 , 1076-43-3; C_6H_6 , 71-43-2; C_2H_4 , 74-85-1; C_2H_6 , 74-84-0; C_3H_8 , 74-98-6.

Spectroscopic Studies of Pyrazine in Cryogenic Solutions

J. Lee, F. Li, and E. R. Bernstein'

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 (Received: June 28, 1982; In Final Form: August 30, 1982)

The first excited singlet (${}^{1}B_{3u}$) and triplet (${}^{3}B_{3u}$) states of pyrazine are studied in the cryogenic liquids CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, C₄H₁₀, C₂H₄, C₃H₆, and 1-C₄H₈. The reported data include ${}^{1}B_{3u} \leftrightarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ absorption, fluorescence, and lifetimes and ${}^{3}B_{3u} \to {}^{1}A_{1g}$ phosphorescence and lifetimes as a function of temperature and concentration. From the behavior of the ${}^{1}B_{3u} \leftrightarrow {}^{1}A_{1g}$ system it is concluded that hydrogen bonding is an important feature of the intermolecular potential in these solutions. The lifetime of the singlet state is quite short with an upper limit of \sim 5 ns. The ${}^{3}B_{3u} \to {}^{1}A_{1g}$ phosphorescence has a measured 4-ms lifetime at 90 K which is consistent with an impurity quenching mechanism and impurity concentration of 0.01 ppm. It has been possible to separate out radiative, nonradiative, and impurity quenching rate constants in these systems for the ${}^{3}B_{3u}$ state of pyrazine. An activation energy for the temperature-dependent radiationless process of \sim 2 kcal/mol is regarded as the hydrogen-bonding energy between solvent and pyrazine (N···HC) in the excited ${}^{3}B_{3u}$ state.

I. Introduction

Relaxation times and absorption and emission spectra have been demonstrated to yield important new information concerning the properties and structure of cryogenic liquids. The observed Franck-Condon shifts between the absorption and emission origins and temperature-dependent low-energy tails of the main features in the emission spectrum of C_6H_6 and $C_{10}H_8$ systems have provided new insights into the intermolecular interactions between solute and solvent molecules. These effects can be understood on the basis of the known qualitative behavior of the polarizabilities of aromatic systems. The fluorescence lifetimes of these systems have been shown to be roughly the same as those observed in very dilute gases (i.e. ~ 150 ns for C_6D_6 and ~ 250 ns for $C_{10}H_8$). The phosphoresence of these molecules has yet to be observed in cryogenic liquids, most likely due to a lack of solvent purity. (For a 1-s lifetime to be realized, an O2-like quencher must not be present at concentrations greater than 10^{-5} ppm.)

Unlike the previously mentioned systems, the pyrazine molecule undergoes $n\pi^*$ transitions upon excitation to its

first excited singlet (¹B_{3u}) and first excited triplet (³B_{3u}) states. Consequently, the excited-state pyrazine system represents a different possible probe of the intermolecular interactions, structure, and dynamics of cryogenic solutions. The spectroscopic properties of pyrazine are now well established for gas^{2,3} and solid^{4,5} phases. A careful comparison and correlation of the spectroscopic data for pyrazine in all three states of matter can now be made. The results of such studies discussed in this report indicate that hydrogen bonding (N···HC) and diffusion-controlled impurity quenching processes play an important role in cryogenic solutions (CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃H₈, C₄H₁₀, C₂H₄, C₃H₆, and 1-C₄H₈). The hydrogen-bonding strength, phosphorescence lifetime for pyrazine as a function of temperature, and a limit to the impurity concentration in these solvents can be measured.

II. Experimental Section

The general preparation and purification procedures for the solvents and solute have been discussed in the previous reports.¹ So that the phosphorescence lifetime of the long-lived triplet state of pyrazine can be measured, highly

zine lon

^{(1) (}a) Li, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, J. Phys. Chem., accepted for publication. (b) M. Schauer, J. Lee, and E. R. Bernstein, J. Chem. Phys., 76, 2773 (1982). (c) E. R. Bernstein and J. Lee, Ibid., 74, 3159 (1981). (d) J. Lee, F. Li, and E. R. Bernstein, J. Phys. Chem., accepted for publi-

Y. Udagawa and M. Ito, Chem. Phys., 46, 237 (1980).
 A. Frad, F. Lahmani, A. Tramer, and C. Tric, J. Chem. Phys., 60, 4419 (1974).

⁽⁴⁾ B. J. Cohen and L. Goodman, J. Chem. Phys., 46, 713 (1967).
(5) S. L. Madej, G. D. Gillispie, and E. C. Lim, Chem. Phys., 32, 1 (1978).